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5th Conference on Good Regulatory Practice
Foreword

Mercedes Araoz
Minister
Ministry of Foreign Trade and Tourism (MINCETUR), Peru

Peru’s exports reached US$ 28 billion in 2007 and over the past five years have been growing at an annual rate of 32.5%. This outstanding expansion is a fundamental pillar to achieve economic growth, efficiency and job creation, and therefore to improve the quality of life and reduce poverty in our country.

MINCETUR is convinced that technical regulations, metrology, standards and conformity assessment procedures (including accreditation) are key tools for promoting exports. Their sound development and effective implementation enable sustainable development, improve welfare and facilitate trade. However such activities may also act as impediments to market access for goods and services. As a result, there is an increasing awareness of the need to discuss, compare and improve countries’ abilities to determine, maintain and improve their infrastructure, practices, as well as international compatibility and recognition in these areas.

The 5th Conference on Good Regulatory Practice, which took place on 9 August 2008 in the city of Cusco, Peru, provided an opportunity for APEC Member Economies to gain better knowledge on sound regulatory policies that meet regulatory needs and lead to reductions in regulatory barriers to trade. This knowledge should be considered as one of the means for assisting APEC Member Economies in meeting their international obligations under the WTO TBT Agreement and their commitment under the APEC Bogor Goals of free and open trade in the region.

I welcome the successful completion of the 5th Conference on Good Regulatory Practice, expressing my deep gratitude to all who have made it possible. My appreciation goes to our co-sponsors, Chile, New Zealand and the United States of America, to all speakers for making their excellent contributions, and the delegates from the APEC Member Economies for their active participation in the Conference and the ensuing discussions. We were very glad to welcome you all to Peru and hope you had a pleasant and fruitful time. Last but not least, I commend our team for the well-run organization of the Conference.
# Conference Agenda

## V Conference on Good Regulatory Practice

### Saturday 9 August

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09:00-09:10</td>
<td>Introduction and welcome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:10 – 10:20</td>
<td>Experiences on coordination among regulatory bodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:10 – 09:35</td>
<td>The Regulators’ Forum:</td>
<td>Ms. Sirma Karapeeva, Ministry of Economic Development, New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A New Zealand example of co-ordination among regulatory bodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:35 – 10:00</td>
<td>Chile Experience on Coordination among Regulatory Bodies</td>
<td>Ms. Carolina Ramirez, DIRECON, Chile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00-10:20</td>
<td>Questions and answers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:20 – 10:35</td>
<td>Morning break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:35 – 13:00</td>
<td>Mechanisms of Coordination between standardization bodies and regulatory bodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:35-11:00</td>
<td>Mechanism of Coordination: NTTAA and the U.S. Federal Government's Use of Consensus Standards</td>
<td>Jennifer Stradtman, U.S. Department of Commerce United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-11:25</td>
<td>The Use of Standards in the Regulatory Regime: Canadian Experience</td>
<td>Mr. David Shortall, Standards Council of Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:25-11:50</td>
<td>Standards and the regulatory efficiency agenda in Australia</td>
<td>Mr. John Tucker, Standards Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:50-12:15</td>
<td>Mechanisms of Coordination between standardization bodies and regulatory bodies</td>
<td>Mr. Gary Kushnier, PASC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:15 – 13:00</td>
<td>Questions and answers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00 – 14:30</td>
<td>LUNCH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 2</strong></td>
<td><strong>Regulation and Trade</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:30 – 14:55</td>
<td>Methodologies of regulatory impact analysis on trade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:55-15:20</td>
<td>Coordination mechanisms among regulatory bodies: harmonization vis a vis MRAs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The ASEAN (Association of Southeast Asian Nations) EE MRA and AHEEER – A Model for Trade Facilitation</td>
<td>Mr. Teo Nam Kuan, SPRING, Singapore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:20-15:40</td>
<td>Questions and answers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 3</strong></td>
<td><strong>Performance Based Regulations</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:40-16:05</td>
<td>Performance based regulations vs prescriptive regulation: A case study of the European approach to wine regulation compared to APEC Economies</td>
<td>Mr. Tony Battaglione, Winemakers’ Federation of Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:05-16:15</td>
<td>Questions and answers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:15-16:30</td>
<td>Afternoon break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 4</strong></td>
<td><strong>Good Regulatory Practices: APEC Economies Experiences</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:30-16:55</td>
<td>PERU: Experience in Technical Regulations</td>
<td>Mr. Augusto Mello, INDECOPI, Peru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:55-17:05</td>
<td>Questions and answers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:05-17:30</td>
<td>Conclusions and Closing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Welcome Speech

Speaker
Mr. Waldy Bejarano, Ministry of Foreign Trade and Tourism, Peru

Waldy Bejarano is an economist: He works for the Vice Ministry of Foreign Trade as Free Trade Agreement negotiator in the field of Sanitary and Phitosanitary Measures. He is the Head of the Peruvian Delegation to the APEC Sub Committee on Standards and Conformance as well as the Project Overseer for the 5th Conference on Good Regulatory Practice.
WELCOME SPEECH

On behalf of the Ministry of Foreign Trade and Tourism of Peru it is my pleasure to welcome you to the 5th Conference on Good Regulatory Practice, being held on 9 August 2008 in Cusco.

This conference has been organized by Peru together with the co-sponsoring economies Chile, New Zealand and the United States. Peru thanks these economies for supporting this project.

The organizers thank all the conference participants for attending this event. In particular, we thank the conference speakers, who are regulatory authorities from APEC member economies and the Specialists Regional Bodies (PASC) and who have participated in the implementation of sound good regulatory policies to meet trade and regulatory needs. I hope that you all enjoy your stay in the historical city of Cusco.

The APEC Sub Committee on Standards and Conformance has already taken significant steps in addressing Good Regulatory Practice. To note are the APEC Information Notes on Good Regulatory Practice developed in the 1990s as well as the GRP Conferences organized in the past, the last opportunity in Vietnam in 2006. This Conference is the continuation of these efforts.

The Conference’s objectives are the following:

• Highlight the value that technical infrastructures have in achieving the APEC Bogor goal of free and open trade in the region through the reduction of business transaction costs
• Increase the awareness and visibility among high-level regulatory authorities of the contribution of SCSC’s initiatives and achievements in facilitating trade and in responding to the new challenges emerged from the evolving international trade environment
• Increase the awareness and visibility among high-level regulatory authorities of the need for sound policies in each economy that allow for and promote the use of international standards and internationally accepted conformance practices to meet trade and regulatory needs
• Encourage government leaders to budget for, and actively participate in, international standards development activities to harmonize technical and procedural criteria
• Encourage regulatory authorities in each economy to consult and cooperate amongst themselves, with input from their private sectors, in the process of promulgating any new mandatory requirements and to reference compatible international standards in regulations wherever possible, and
• Promote a dynamic cooperation between SCSC and regulatory authorities in the APEC region.

This one-day conference is divided into four sessions. In the morning, we will address Regulatory Systems, focusing on coordination mechanisms both among regulatory bodies and between regulatory bodies and standards conformance bodies. Australia, Canada, Chile, New Zealand, the United States and PASC will share their experiences.

In the afternoon we will have three sessions that will focus on regulation and trade, performance based regulations and the APEC member experiences in good regulatory practice. In these sessions we will have speakers from Australia, Peru, Singapore, and the United States.

The Conference seeks that the regulatory authorities present today express their evaluation and expectations related to current and future SCSC activities on the subject of Good Regulatory Practices, in particular, that they provide feedback with regards to increasing the value and relevance of the “APEC Information Notes on Good Practice for Technical Regulations”, reviewing them if necessary.

I trust that this Conference and its outcomes will be very useful for all APEC economies and the SCSC work.

Now, I would like to hand over the floor to Mario Sandoval, who will co-moderate the conference. Mr. Sandoval was responsible for the Peruvian National Standardization and Accreditation Bodies and is an expert on TBT matters, having advised Peru in its FTA negotiations with the United States, Mexico, Singapore, EFTA, the European Union and China.
Mario Sandoval is a Mechanical Engineer with a Masters in Chemical Engineering from the Aachen University of Technology (RWTH) and a Diploma in Business Administration from the Australian Graduate School of Management. Having worked for the Royal Dutch Shell Group for 8 years in the Netherlands, Australia and the United States, he actively participated in the development of U.S., European and international standards for hydrogen and fuel cell technologies. He was the lead delegate of the Netherlands to IEC TC 105 Fuel Cell Technologies and ISO TC 197 Hydrogen Technologies, convener of the ISO TC 197 Working Group 9 “Hydrogen generators using fuel processing technologies” and a member of the U.S. DOE Hydrogen Codes and Standards Coordination Committee. More recently, he was the Technical Secretary of INDECOPI’s Technical and Commercial Regulations Commission, which includes both the Peruvian National Standardization and Accreditation bodies. He represented Peru in international fora related to standardization, accreditation and technical barriers to trade and supported the work of the Ministry of Foreign Trade and Tourism in these areas as well as in Free Trade Agreement negotiations. In 2007 he was Vice Chair of the APEC Sub Committee on Standards and Conformance. Currently, he manages a private sector corporation and is a consultant on TBT matters.
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Section 1
Regulatory Systems
Topic
Experiences on coordination among regulatory bodies

Presentation

The Regulators’ Forum: A New Zealand example of co-ordination among regulatory bodies

Speaker

Ms. Sirma Karapeeva, Ministry of Economic Development, New Zealand

Sirma Karapeeva is a Senior Analyst with the Competition, Trade and Investment branch of the New Zealand Ministry of Economic Development. She has responsibility for policy development in relation to general standards and conformity assessment issues both domestically and internationally.

Sirma is involved with the negotiation and implementation of the Technical Barriers to Trade Chapters of New Zealand’s free trade agreements as well as with a range of bilateral mutual recognition arrangements. Also, she represents New Zealand on the APEC Standards and Conformance Sub-Committee and has represented New Zealand at the WTO Committee on Technical Barriers to Trade.
The Regulators’ Forum:  
A New Zealand example of co-ordination among regulatory bodies

Sirma Karapeeva  
5th APEC Conference on Good Regulatory Practice  
9 August 2008  
Cusco, Peru

---

Agenda

- Background
- Structure, objectives, scope and role of the Regulators’ Forum
- Specific tasks and work programme
- Experience to date
- Conclusions
Background

Review of NZ’s standards and conformity assessment infrastructure completed in 2007

Objective was to assess how well the infrastructure supports NZ’s regulatory and trade systems and the Government’s economic transformation agenda

Review found that the infrastructure was fundamentally sound but there was room for improvement

Background

Review findings regarding regulatory co-ordination included:

- Regulators had different levels of awareness and understanding of the infrastructure as a tool to support quality regulations
- Regulators had limited understanding of their trade facilitation role and international obligations
- Diverse regulatory approaches
- Complexity of regulations
- Limited co-ordination of market surveillance and enforcement activities
Background
The strategy to overcome these challenges aims to:
- improve international linkages
- promote co-operation
- promote alignment between infrastructure bodies and regulatory agencies

A key element of the strategy is the establishment of the Regulators’ Forum – model based on successful international experience (Chile and Mexico)

Establishing the Regulators’ Forum
The Government approved the establishment of the Regulators’ Forum in December 2007

Forum has met three times:
- 30 January 2008
- 31 March 2008
- 9 July 2008

Next meeting scheduled for October 2008
Structure of the Regulators’ Forum

Forum comprises of:
- Policy and regulation makers
- Regulators
- Standards and conformity assessment bodies
- Others by invitation (e.g. academics and businesses)

Operates as a two-tier system
- Core Board responsible for setting the agenda and leading the broader discussion on cross cutting issues
- Sub-Committees responsible for undertaking more detailed and technical work on specific issues as directed by the Core Board

Supported by a Secretariat

---

Structure of the Regulators’ Forum

![Diagram of Regulators' Forum](image)
Objectives of the Regulators’ Forum

Aims to maximise the benefits of existing resources
Improve knowledge and awareness of the role of standards and conformity assessment in a developed economy
Promote improved use of infrastructure as a tool to support quality regulation, trade facilitation, innovation, sustainability and economic transformation
Promote better alignment and co-ordination across regulators, government agencies and infrastructure bodies
Establish a whole of government approach to good regulatory practice

Scope and Role of the Regulators’ Forum

Focus of the Forum is on the interface between regulations, standards and conformity assessment
5th Conference on Good Regulatory Practice

Scope and Role of the Regulators’ Forum

The Forum operates as a regulatory cluster with 3 primary roles:
- To act as a sounding board
- To provide advice
- To commission work in areas of common interest

The Forum has specific tasks under each of its primary roles

Specific tasks of the Regulators’ Forum

To act as a sounding board:
Foster debate, information sharing and peer review of regulatory approaches and models;
Promote better understanding of the role of standards and conformity assessment to support quality regulations, GRP and economic development
Promote awareness and compliance with international obligations
Promote discussions of the opportunities for international regulatory co-operation
Improve awareness among infrastructure bodies of the needs of regulators
Specific tasks of the Regulators’ Forum

To provide advice:
- Support peer review of regulatory proposals and support the Regulatory Impact Analysis regime
- Develop solutions to problems where appropriate
- Report to the Government on relevant issues discussed at the Forum which require Government action
- Monitor and advise on the effectiveness of its role

Specific tasks of the Regulators’ Forum

To commission work in areas of common interest:
- Develop guidelines on the use of standards and conformity assessment to support quality regulation and regulatory objectives
- Develop other documents for use by regulators and policy makers
- Commission sub-committees to carry out analysis in particular areas
- Provide a shared educational experience through interaction, workshops and seminars
Work programme of the Regulators’ Forum

Work is progressed through sub-committees

Sub-committees are:
- established with a specific focus and terms of reference
- drawn from the network of entities involved in the Forum
- report findings to the Core Board

4 sub-committees have been established so far

---

Work programme of the Regulators’ Forum

**Standards related sub-committee** is focused on:

- Development of a national standardisation and conformity assessment strategy
- Development of a “choice framework” of different approaches to using standards and conformity assessment in regulation

**International obligations sub-committee** is focused on:

- Promoting better awareness of and compliance with NZ’s international obligations e.g. WTO TBT Agreement
- Considering the development of operational guidance for compliance with international obligations
Work programme of the Regulators' Forum

Metrology sub-committee is focused on:
- Identifying the risks and potential sources of failure inherent in the metrology infrastructure
- Development of a metrology strategy and framework for dealing with metrology issues

Accreditation of standards development organisations sub-committee:
- Worked on a specific issue raised during the Review of NZ's standards and conformity assessment infrastructure
- Was disestablished after it completed its work

Experience to date

Still early to draw definitive lessons learned
Positive experience to date as indicated by:
- Consistent and active participation from 16 agencies
- Well informed debate and free and frank discussion
- Willingness to share ideas and expertise and apply these to current issues
- Enthusiasm to work together particularly in sub-committees
- Strong work programme focused on delivering outputs that can make a significant contribution to achieving the Forum's main objectives
Conclusion

Regulators’ Forum was established to meet challenges of co-ordination and alignment between regulatory agencies. It is based on international models and experience. It aims to:

- Promote improved use of infrastructure to support quality regulation, trade facilitation, innovation, sustainability and economic transformation
- Promote better alignment and co-ordination
- Establish a consistent approach to good regulatory practice

Structure and work programme has flexibility to respond to issues as they arise.

Experience so far shows enthusiasm and willingness among participants to ensure success of the Forum.

Thank you for your attention

Sirma Karapeeva
sirma.karapeeva@med.govt.nz
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Section 1  
Regulatory Systems  
Topic  
Experiences on coordination among regulatory bodies

Presentation  
Chile Experience on Coordination among Regulatory Bodies

Speaker  
Ms. Carolina Ramirez, DIRECON, Chile

Carolina Ramirez has her Masters of Economics and Statistical Engineering from the University of Santiago. Since May 1st 2008, she is Adviser on Technical Barriers to Trade in the Market Access Department, General Directorate of International Economic Affairs, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Chile. She also worked as Adviser of Foreign Trade Department and Adviser of Productive Development Division, Ministry of Economy.
Chile Experience on Coordination among Regulatory Bodies

Carolina Ramirez Joignant
Adviser on Technical Barriers to Trade
General Directorate of International Economic Affairs
Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Objectives of Technical Regulations

- Technical Regulations set the rules that products must fulfill so the protection level defined by the society through the competent authorities is achieved.
- Their interventions should not distort the market performance.
- One aspect that is determinant is the coordination among regulatory bodies.
- The coordination among regulatory bodies is important to comply with the Good Regulatory Practices (GRP)
The National Commission on Technical Barriers to Trade

- It was created in 1997.
- It is headed by the General Directorate of International Economic Affairs (DIRECON), the Ministry of Foreign Affairs.
- It is conformed by the representatives of the Ministries and Public Agencies that develop, adopt and apply technical regulations and conformity assessment procedures.
- Officials from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs.
- The National Standardization Institute (INN).

The Mission

- To coordinate and provide consistency to the work of the institutions involved with technical regulations and conformity assessment procedures.
- To strengthen the market surveillance.
- To ensure its application and setting the appropriate conditions so standards become an instrument that promote technical innovation and assure the fulfillment of all obligations.
Themes

1) Review and analysis of the general standardization, regulation and conformity assessment systems outstanding in Chile.

2) Periodical updates of the WTO/TBT activities for its implementation and application in Chile.

3) Identification and analysis of the topics on WTO/TBT and other fora that require a national position.
4) Analysis and follow up of the free trade agreement negotiations with Australia, Turkey, Malaysia, among others. Support on the administration of the current agreements, mainly by providing technical information about particular sectors.

5) Review and analysis of national technical regulations’ public availability.

6) Measures to strengthening market surveillance through better coordination.

7) Evaluation the possibility to be a member of IEC

8) Review and evaluation of the existing MRA under the SCSC in APEC.

9) Implementation of a network of focal points in the different agencies to coordinate work and to respond to information requirements.

10) Capacity building requirements
Outcomes - Trade Negotiations

- Each of the trade negotiations that have been carried out and that address TBT matters has been analyzed, discussed and commented by its members.
- This work allows for a prompt implementation of the measures agreed and their straightforward application in all sectors.

***

Outcomes - Legal Matters

- Throughout 2003 the Commission worked on the elaboration of a Decree regarding the implementation of Law No 19,912.
- This Law ensures compliance with TBT principles and procedures. The text of the Decree (Decree 77/2004: Requirements for the Preparation, Adoption and Application of Technical Regulations and Conformity Assessment Procedures) was agreed by consensus.
- The scope of the Law, and therefore of the Decree, relates to the principles of the TBT Agreement and good regulatory practices (GRP).
Outcomes - Legal Matters (Cont.)

- The Decree has allowed enhancing awareness among the Chilean agencies regarding:
  - The benefits of having clear and uniform rules on how technical regulations and conformity assessment procedures (CAP) should be developed, adopted, and applied.
  - The process for its elaboration gave the opportunity to answer the agencies inquiries and to share the main concerns.

Outcomes - Legal Matters (Cont.)

- The Decree establishes principles of the TBT Agreement such as: use of international standards, non-discrimination, avoidance of unnecessary barriers to trade and transparency.
  - It includes a broad system of consultation of draft regulations with the community and a time period for comments (60 days)
  - It requires to keep relevant information available to the public (objective of the measure, alternatives considered, advantages and disadvantages, etc.)
Outcomes - Legal Matters (Cont.)

- Technical regulations and/or CAP must allow a reasonable time between the date of their adoption and their application. A reasonable time shall be understood to mean a period of no less than six months.

- The Ministry or agency with regulatory powers shall analyze the comments received and take said written comments into account. In addition, it must make available to the public responses to any significant comments it received.

- The Decree 77 is available in: [http://www.reglamentostecnicos.cl/OtroDocumento/ComisionNacional](http://www.reglamentostecnicos.cl/OtroDocumento/ComisionNacional)

(Spanish and English version)

---

GOVERNMENT OF CHILE
UNDERSECRETARY OF ECONOMY

[Name - Chief, Rec Trade Dept]

REGULATIONS IMPLEMENTING TITLE I OF LAW 19.912 AND REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PREPARATION, ADOPTION AND APPLICATION OF TECHNICAL REGULATIONS AND CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES

SANTIAGO, February 25, 2004

[Name – Legal Counsel]

PURSUANT TO: Article 3(5) of the Political Constitution of the Republic of Chile; the Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade of the World Trade Organization, which resulted from the Uruguay Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations notified by the Chilean Congress and promulgated through Supreme Decree No. 14 of 1995 of the Ministry of Foreign Relations; and Article 5 of Law No. 19.912 herein said legislation into compliance with the World Trade Organization Agreements signed by Chile; and

WHEREAS:

[Context of the agreement or regulation]

NOW, THEREFORE,

[Announcement of the regulations or implementing measures]
Outcomes - Internal Topics

- A Topic that have been discussed at the Commission was the implementation of GHS.
- Another topic that was considered in the Commission is the REACH system, proposed by the European Union for the trade and imports of chemicals.
- New topics: Measures that affects our exports of sodium nitrate and potassium nitrate (USA and Germany) and our exports of borates (EU)

Outcomes - SCSC

- The subscription by Chile of the First Part of the Electrical MRA and the Arrangement for Exchange of Information on Toy Safety was facilitated by the previous discussion in this assembly.
- Food Sectoral MRA (analyses with the competent Authorities)
- APEC Food Safety Cooperation Initiative (analyses with the competent Authorities)
Outcomes - Others

- Regarding public availability of technical regulations, the agencies have been improving the information contained in their websites.

- With resources obtained from a cooperation program with the EU there is website containing all the Chilean technical Regulations and CAP, and related information (www.reglamentostecnicos.cl)
Outcomes- Others (Cont.)

- The market surveillance is an important matter to assure the right application of technical regulations to national products and imports.

- A program to share information is being settled with the Customs Service to improve the control of imported products. The first area where this program is being applied is the electrical and fuel products and pharmaceuticals/cosmetics products.

***

Others

- Training on the implementation of Decree 77/2004 and its regulation to Ministries and Agencies.

- Training of the implementation of the web site contains all technical regulations and conformity assessment procedures

- The WTO/TBT Contact Point send every week all WTO/TBT Notifications of the rest of the WTO Members and private sector (exporters)

- The Commission is working in the elaboration of a “Guide proposed of Procedures to follow in relation to the comments received of Chilcan proposed technical regulations and CAP”
About the “Guide”:
- The proposed regulation has to be in a web site or it has to be available (public access)
- Send to the WTO/TBT Contact Point a copy of the proposed technical regulation and CAP
- A period of no less than 60 days for comments
- The institution has to give a Standardized Form for the received comments by theme, articles of the proposed regulation, sections or others kinds of classification

About the “Guide” (cont.):
- Identify the relevant comments
- Evaluate the comments
- About international comments the Ministry or agency with regulatory powers shall analyze the written comments and inform to the WTO/TBT Contact Point how they will take into account the written comments
- Make a “Table” containing the relevant comments received and the answers to these comments. This Table will be available for public access.
Future Work

- Continue the Training On the TBT Agreement to agencies and entrepreneurs.
- Continue the Training On the Decree of GRP (Decree 77/2004) to agencies.
- Current regulations to consumers.
- Continue the evaluation of the possibility to be a Member of IEC

Future Work (Cont.)

- Improve the web site of technical regulations and CAP
- Create the National Public-Private Commission on Technical Barriers to Trade
- Finalize the “Guide proposed of Procedures to follow in relation to the comments received of Chilean proposed regulations”
Challenges

- To improve our system.
- To move toward the optimization of our system.
- Obtain permanent training on GRP
- Identify other national coordination schemes to share experiences and improve our work.
- To improve mechanism on GRP.

Chile Experience on Coordination among Regulatory Bodies

Carolina Ramirez Joignant
Adviser on Technical Barriers to Trade
General Directorate of International Economic Affairs
Ministry of Foreign Affairs
Section 1
Regulatory Systems
Topic
Mechanisms of Coordination between standardization bodies and regulatory bodies

Presentation
Mechanism of Coordination: NTTAA and the U.S. Federal Government’s Use of Consensus Standards

Speaker
Jennifer Stradtman, U.S. Department of Commerce, United States

Jennifer Stradtman has worked for seven years at the US Department of Commerce in various roles, including her current position in the Standards Liaison’s Office, and previously as a WTO TBT Specialist. Prior to working for Commerce, Ms. Stradtman was the Director of the Microbiology Analytical Chemistry Section of the American Council of Independent Laboratories. Ms. Stradtman has her Masters degree in International Trade from University of Bufalo.
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Mechanisms of Coordination:
NTTAA & The U.S. Federal Government’s Use of Consensus Standards

Ajit Jilavenkatesa, Ph.D.
National Institute of Standards and Technology
U.S. Department of Commerce
USA

August 9th, 2008
APEC SCSC: 5th GRP Conference
Cusco, Peru

Outline

☐ Introduction

☐ The U.S. Federal government’s relationship to standards development

☐ Law and policy

☐ Examples

☐ Conclusions

August 9th, 2008
APEC SCSC: 5th GRP Conference
Cusco, Peru
The U.S. Standards System

- Is voluntary, market-driven and private sector led
- Works through cooperation and communication among stakeholders:
  - Standards organizations
  - Industry
  - Government
- Federal law and supporting policy encourage Federal government agencies use of consensus standards
  - In lieu of government unique standards
  - For procurement, supporting regulatory needs and meeting emerging national priorities
  - Agencies retain authority to choose the standard that best meets their needs
  - The voluntary consensus process is called out specifically as offering benefits

August 9th, 2008
APEC SSCC: 5th GRP Conference
Cusco, Peru

U.S. Federal Government’s Relationship To Standards Development

- Standards user:
  - In product purchases
  - Through incorporation into regulations
- Participant in standards development through the voluntary process
  - Does not receive any special treatment/privileges for participation
- Contributes to the technical underpinning for standards

Goal: Standards that meet Federal government agencies’ needs

August 9th, 2008
APEC SSCC: 5th GRP Conference
Cusco, Peru
Government’s Use of Standards: Benefits

- Eliminates the cost of developing and maintaining standards that meet government requirements
- Decreases cost of goods purchased
- Promotes efficiency and economic competition
- Decreases burden on regulated communities
- Furthers the policy of reliance on the private sector to supply goods and services that meet government needs

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA)

- All Federal agencies should use private sector standards in lieu of agency unique standards
  - Agencies must annually report use of agency unique standards and provide explanation of use
- Federal agencies should participate in standards developing activities
- Identifies NIST as the coordinator of Federal standards and conformity assessment activities with those of the private sector
Policies of OMB Circular A-119

- Encourage Federal agencies to benefit from the expertise of the private sector
- Promote Federal agency participation in such bodies to ensure the creation of standards that are usable by Federal agencies
- Reduce reliance on government unique standards where private sector standards would suffice
  - No preference among types of standards
  - Use commercial off the shelf products regardless of source of underlying standard

August 9th, 2008
APEC SCSC: 5th GRP Conference
Cusco, Peru

Major Sources of Standards Used by the U.S. Federal Government

- ASTM International
- Institute for Printed Circuits
- American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME)
- National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)
- Society of Automotive Engineers
- Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.
- American Petroleum Institute
- International Maritime Organization (IMO)
- Compressed Gas Association

August 9th, 2008
APEC SCSC: 5th GRP Conference
Cusco, Peru
Example: U.S. Government Agency Participation in Standards Development

- More than 3,200 Federal agencies staff participate in private sector standards development activities.
- NIST has identified:
  - 9207 citations of standards incorporated by reference (regulation); more than 6,500 are non-government standards.
  - 10,559 standards incorporated by reference by agencies for procurement purposes.
  (Minor overlap between the two lists—trend of dependence on private sector standards is unambiguous.)

Searchable database:
http://standards.gov/sibr/query/index.cfm?fuseaction=Home.main

August 9th, 2009
APEC SCSC: 5th GRP Conference
Cusco, Peru

Example: NIST Participation In Nanotech Standards Development

- NIST has active involvement in nanotechnology standards development activities.
- Emphasis on developing relevant standards to enable reliable measurements (e.g., toxicological properties of nanopowders).
- NIST experts provide technical expertise in highly accurate and precise measurement, and provide measurement standards (reference materials) that underlie documentary standards.

August 9th, 2009
APEC SCSC: 5th GRP Conference
Cusco, Peru
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Example: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Since the introduction of the NTAAA in 1996 requiring Federal agencies to use voluntary standards wherever possible, the EPA has benefited from:

- Greater ease in meeting regulatory obligations, with voluntary standards meeting the technical requirements of about half of the 600-900 EPA rules written each year
- Increased collaboration between government and private sector technical experts on the environment
- Opened paths of communication with industry to advance the agency’s objectives

Conclusions

- Coordination between the U.S. Federal govt. and standards developers has led to numerous benefits for all involved

- The coordination is backed by U.S. Federal law and supporting policy.

- Federal agencies’ use of standards is a key element of the U.S. Standards Strategy*
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Conclusions (2)

- Individual Federal agencies make effective use of private sector standards in many applications.

- Agencies must consider whether the use of standards helps them accomplish their missions, increases efficiency, reduce the burden on regulated and other communities and whether there is an impact on trade.

- Greater use of standards with global acceptance facilitates both least burdensome regulatory approaches and trade.

Contact Information

Ajit JillaVenkatesh, Ph.D.
National Institute of Standards and Technology
100 Bureau Drive, Stop 2100
Gaithersburg, MD 20899-2100
USA

Ph: + 1-301-975-5089
ajit.jilla@nist.gov
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David Shortall is a consultant in the area of standardization, trade and regulatory policy. Among his clients are the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) and the World Bank. He acts as an advisor to the Standards Council of Canada and is a member of Canada’s delegation to the WTO Technical Barriers to Trade Committee. Mr. Shortall served as convenor of ISO/CASCO Working Group 22 which undertook revision of ISO/IEC Guide 60, Code of Good Practice for Conformity Assessment. In his previous career Mr. Shortall served as Canada’s delegate to the TBT Committee and to the APEC Subcommittee on Standards and Conformance.
The Use of Standards in the Regulatory Regime: Canadian Experience

5th APEC Conference on Good Regulatory Practices Cusco, Peru, August 9, 2008
David Shortall, Standards Council of Canada

Purpose of the presentation

• Explain Canadian policies on referencing standards in regulations and the role of the Standards Council of Canada in this process

• Outline methods for referencing or incorporation of standards

• Provide case studies

- Government of Canada’s Cabinet Directive on Streamlining Regulation (CDSR) which requires Government Departments to make use of national and international standards and conformity assessment procedures when they fulfill intended policy objectives.


Standards and Regulations in the CDSR

- Encourages regulators to use standards and conformity assessment as the basis of regulations

- Directs regulators to maintain compliance with international trade obligations (WTO TBT and SPS Agreements)

- Promotes cooperation with provincial and territorial governments

- Encourages the use of performance based measurements
Standards and Regulations: A Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standards</th>
<th>Regulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standards Development Process</td>
<td>Regulatory Development Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards development organizations (SDOs)</td>
<td>Governments take the lead in developing regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>facilitate the development of standards in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>response to requests from proponents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDOs seek consensus on the content of standards</td>
<td>Governments consult interested parties, but do not necessarily seek consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conformity Assessment</td>
<td>Regulations may require testing and certification by accredited CABs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certification bodies, testing laboratories and management systems certification bodies carry out conformity assessment activities</td>
<td>Governments enforce their regulations themselves or remain accountable for enforcement when they rely on others to carry it out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How do standards get incorporated in regulations?

- **Incorporated directly into statutes**
  - i.e. the statute reproduces the wording of the standard

- **Incorporated by reference into statutes**
  - i.e. the statute refers to a particular standard, but does not reproduce the wording of the standard

- **Reproduced directly in regulations**

- **Incorporated by reference into regulations**

- **Used as guidelines to elaborate rules found in statutes or regulations**
Standards development (1)

- **Inclusiveness**: Interest groups participation
  - Regulators
  - Producers and Consumers
  - Academics

- **“Balanced Matrix”**: Equal representation of interest groups in:
  - Standards development and approval
  - Maintenance/review

- **Consensus**

Standards development (2)

- **Scope of standard**
  - Only requirements essential for regulatory reference
  - Only technical issues

- **Structure**
  - Consistent and identifiable sections
  - Non-technical issues outside of normative content

- **Language**
  - Avoiding recommendations

- **References**
  - Clear indication of the preceding version
Regulatory adoption process

Referencing options
- Complete reference
- Qualified reference
- Partial reference
- Reference as good practice
- Reference as alternate
- Inclusive reference to standard

Procedures for updating standards in regulations
- Periodical review of standards to determine relevance to current technology
- Notification from the SDOs to interested regulatory authority prior to the maintenance action
- Monitoring of status of referenced standards by regulatory authority
- RegWatch
What is RegWatch?

- Search tool for identifying Canadian, foreign and international standards referenced in Canadian federal law
- Provides links to the full text of Canadian regulations that cite standards, and links to additional information about the referenced standards
- Allows to:
  - Search by keyword or standard number, developer, regulation
  - Find bibliographic information on Canadian, US and international standards referenced in Canadian legislation

Example: ISO Standards in Canadian Regulations

- Title of Standard: *Quality Management Systems - Fundamentals and Vocabulary*
- Standards Development: BO
- ICS Code: 93.120.10: Quality management and quality assurance
- Minister Responsible: Minister of Health
- Regulation: Medical Devices Regulations
- Enabling Statute: Food and Drugs Act
- Location Within Legislation: section 1

"The definitions in this section apply in these Regulations..."

..."objective evidence" means information that can be proved true, based on facts obtained through observation, measurement, testing or other means, as set out in the definition “objective evidence” in section 2.19 of International Organization for Standardization standard ISO 9001:1994, Quality management and quality assurance - Vocabulary, as amended from time to time.

"validation" means confirmation by examination and the provision of objective evidence that the requirements for a specific intended use have been fulfilled, as set out in the definition "validation" in section 2.18 of International Organization for Standardization standard ISO 9001:1994, Quality management and quality assurance - Vocabulary, as amended from time to time.

Example: Canadian National Standards in Regulations

- Title of Standard: Risk Management: Guideline for Decision-Makers
- Standards Developer: Canadian Standards Association (CSA)
- ICS Code: 03.120.99: Other standards related to quality
- Minister Responsible: Minister of Transport
- Regulation: Canadian Aviation Regulations
- Enabling Statute: Aeronautics Act
- Location Within Legislation: section 1
  “The Minister may, in writing, on application by the operator of a designated airport, authorize the operator to cease providing an aircraft fire-fighting service if the operator demonstrates by means of a risk analysis based on Standard CAN/CSA-Q650-97 entitled Risk Management: Guideline for Decision-makers as amended from time to time, that the cessation of the aircraft fire-fighting service will not result in an unacceptable risk to aviation safety.”

- Link to Legislation:
- Link to Standards Information:

Example: Foreign Standards in Canadian Regulations

- Title of Standard: Safety Standard for Conveyors and Related Equipment
- Standards Developer: American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME)
- ICS Code: 13: ENVIRONMENT, HEALTH PROTECTION, SAFETY
- 59: MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT
- Minister Responsible: Minister of Labour
- Regulation: Marine Occupational Safety and Health Regulations
- Enabling Statute: Canada Labour Code
- Location Within Legislation: section 12.19

  “Equipment used in the mechanical transmission of power shall be guarded in accordance with sections 7 to 10 of ANSI Standard ANSI B15.1-1972, Safety Standard for Mechanical Power Transmission Apparatus, dated July, 1972.”

- Link to Standards Information: http://www.global.ihs.com/
Medical Devices: Role of SCC Accreditation

- Ensures that only those certification bodies who can meet both accreditation and Health Canada requirements are utilized
- Ensures consistent application of CMDCAS requirements by certification bodies originating from different countries and regions
- Enables Health Canada to recognize the certification body and its certificates
- An accredited certificate under CMDCAS substantially raises Health Canada confidence in the manufacturer

Related Links

- Standards Council of Canada: see: www.scc.ca
- Guide for regulators on using standards
  Key Considerations in the Development and Use of Standards in Legislative Instruments
  see: http://www.scc.ca → ‘Publications’
- Use of International standards in technical regulations (ISO/IEC )
  see: http://www.ifsan.org
- Canadian Medical Devices Conformity Assessment System
- Accreditation programs:
  see: http://www.scc.ca → ‘Products and Services’ → Accreditation programs
5th Conference on Good Regulatory Practice

Canadian Standards Development Organizations

- The Canadian General Standards Board
  See: http://www.bnc.gc.ca

- The Bureau de normalisation du Québec
  See: http://www.pwgsc.gc.ca/cgsb/home/index-e.html

- The Canadian Standards Association
  See: http://www.csa.ca

- Underwriters Laboratories Inc.
  See: http://www.ulc.ca

Standards experts. Accreditation solutions.
5th Conference on Good Regulatory Practice
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Standards and the regulatory efficiency agenda in Australia

John Tucker
Chief Executive Officer
Standards Australia

5th SCSC Conference on Good Regulatory Practice
Cuzco, Peru
9 August 2008

History

• Federalism: nine governments
• ‘A talent for bureaucracy’ leads to ‘a tangle of red tape’
• The Council of Australian Governments (COAG): ‘hotspots’ but lack of action
• The Productivity Commission and the Banks Review
• The role of Standards
What we said

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choice criteria</td>
<td>Risk assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public expectation/politics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A change in 2007

- Labor Governments across the country
- ‘I want COAG to be a work horse not a dead horse’: Kevin Rudd, Prime Minister
- ‘Hotspots’ list gets longer
- New Ministers with enthusiasm
- Renewed government interest in ‘alternative approaches to regulation’
### What we did

- Lobbied COAG members and Office of Best Practice Regulation: ‘the Standards alternative to black-letter regulation’
- Offered workshops and seminars
- Spoke to new Ministers and their advisers
- Wrote letters
- Liaised with other business groups

### Example: Product Safety Framework

- Productivity Commission reports
- Range of existing Standards
- Pilot study in nursery products sector
- ‘Hazard based’
- Replicable
- COAG action
- What happens next: Australian Competition and Consumer Commission
Example: Construction industry

- Building Code of Australia
- Wiring Rules
- Other sectors: gas, plumbing, communications
- COAG and ‘National Construction Code’
- What happens next: many stakeholders

Next steps

- Continuing contact with nine governments and their advisers: ‘Alternative approaches’
- Strengthen liaison with industry allies
- Continue to show that Standards Australia can deliver: New Business Model (rigour and Net Benefit; accredited alternative Standards developers)
- None of this is easy!
Following up

- Contact:
  - Dr David Stephens
  - Government & Stakeholder Relations Consultant to Standards Australia
  - clamshred@ozemail.com.au
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Pacific Area Standard Congress (PASC)

• PASC is the:
  – APEC Specialist Regional Body for Standardization
  – Composed of 21 APEC economies and 3 additional economies
  – APEC link to international standardization bodies including ISO, IEC and others

• The standing objective of PASC is to increase the value of international standards to regulators as well as to industry.
Pacific Area Standard Congress (PASC)

- PASC does not develop standards, but rather is a forum to:
  - Strengthen international standardization programs of the ISO and IEC and to improve the ability of the Pacific Rim standards organizations to participate in these programs effectively
  - Improve the quality and capacity of standardization in economies in the region
  - Support free trade within the region with economies in other regions
  - Support improvement of economic efficiency and development of the region through the promotion of standardization
  - Interact with other bodies that represent elements of the standardization technical infrastructure, industry, consumers and government

- PASC strongly encourages each of its member economies to use international standards rather than develop regional standards which PASC does not do.

Importance of Standards

- Facilitate Trade:
  - Provide agreed-upon specifications for products, services and systems, reducing compliance costs
  - Driven by the marketplace – involvement breeds usefulness and increases the likelihood that the standard will be sensible
  - Enable innovation, competitiveness, and economic growth
  - Protect health, safety, the environment, and national security
  - Can be changed relatively quickly

- Versatile – Multi-purpose – Multi-level
  - Legislation
  - Regulation
  - Adjunct to Regulation
  - Alternative Solution
  - Good/Better/Best?

- Provide a link between industry, consumer and regulator (almost never wholly public or private)
Trends in Standardization

- International harmonization of standards
- Standards in international free trade
  - Standards under WTO-TBT Agreement
  - Standards under WTO-SPS Agreement
  - International standards
- Public acceptance of international private-sector standards
- Public influence in international private-sector standards
- Convergence of technology
- Faster....better user relevance (regulators and business)

Types of Coordination

- The relationship between PASC member standards bodies and PASC member regulatory bodies in each PASC member economy is different.
- Each relationship depends upon the governing structure of the standards body.
- The three different types of governing structures for standards bodies are:
  - Governmental
    - The majority of Asian economies in PASC have governmental standards bodies.
  - Quasi–Governmental
    - Private–Public Partnership
  - Non–Governmental
- Better cooperation = Better international alignment
### PASC Member Economies

- Australia (SA)
- Brunei Darussalam (CPRU)
- Canada (SCC)
- Chile (INN)
- China (SAC)
- Colombia (ICONTEC)
- Fiji (FTSQCO)
- Hong Kong – China (ITCHSKAR)
- Indonesia (BSN)
- Japan (JISC)
- Republic of Korea (KATS)
- Malaysia (DSM)
- Mexico (DGN)
- Mongolia (MASM)
- New Zealand (SNZ)
- Papua New Guinea (NISIT)
- Peru (INDECOPI)
- Philippines (BPS)
- Russia (GOST-R)
- Singapore (SPRING SG)
- South Africa (SABS)
- Thailand (TISI)
- USA (ANSI)
- Vietnam (STAMEQ/TCVN)

**Governmental Standard Bodies in Blue**
**Quasi – Governmental Standards Bodies in Red**
**Non-Governmental Standards Bodies in Black**

---

### Types of Coordination

- Each PASC member economy has the freedom to choose the appropriate tools for coordination between standardization bodies and regulatory bodies.
- PASC supports adherence to the WTO principles.
- Depending upon the subject matter, industry, objective, etc. a member economy has several tools at their disposal:
  - **Technical Regulations**
    - Mandatory specifications, which may include (or reference) particular standards or conformity assessment procedures.
  - **Standards**
    - Market driven specifications for a product, service, person, process or system, voluntary in application or incorporated into a law.
  - **Conformity Assessment**
    - Processes used to verify the compliance of a product, service, person, process or system to either a standards or a regulation (i.e. Suppliers Declaration of Conformity (SDoC) to 3rd Party Testing and Certification)
Tools for the Job

**Appropriateness?**
What is the Criteria? E.g.
- Subject
- Scope
- Objective
- Risk
- Level of Participation
- Industry buy-in
- Implementation
- Technical Content
- Review / Update

Legal/Regulatory Solutions:
- Mandatory Technical Regulations
- Mandatory Compliance Programs

Non-Regulatory Solutions:
- Non-regulatory Programs
- Government Incentive Programs
  - i.e. Energy Star, EPEAT

Market-Based Solutions:
- Voluntary private-sector standards
- Private Sector Led Compliance Programs

Issues in Standardization

- Certification / Liability
- Public and industry understanding of “mandatory” and “voluntary”
- Regulator needs to be at the table and communicating with the Technical Committees and their standards organizations
- Standards process v. political risk
- Adopting standards as part of legislation
  - Process
  - Access for free?
Summary:
Standards - the Regulators Friend

- Encourages innovation and productivity – allows alternative solutions
- Increases efficiency – best practice
- The process promotes acceptance of the completed standard
- Regulation is therefore effective (relevant, flexible, responsive)
- Alternative to regulation – allows less regulation – reduced compliance costs

For more information:

PASC Officers

Mr. Gary Kushnier  
Chair, PASC Executive Committee  
Vice President – International Policy, American National Standards Institute (ANSI)  
Phone: +1 202 331 3604  
Email: gkushnie@ansi.org

Mr. Juichi Nagano  
PASC Secretary  
Deputy Secretary General, Japanese Industrial Standards Committee (JISC)  
Email: pasc@meti.go.jp

www.pascnet.org
THANK YOU
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“U.S. Perspectives on Incorporating Trade Considerations into the Regulatory Process”

Julia Doherty
Senior Director
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative

Outline

- Review of APEC work on good regulatory practice, including SCSC GRP work with particular relevance to trade issues
- Survey of elements of the U.S. regulatory system relevant when assessing international trade impacts
- Summary of recommendations in recent joint OMB-EC review of EU and US Regulatory Impact Assessment guidelines relating to international trade issues
- Conclusions
APEC Good Regulatory Practice

- APEC/OECD work in 1990’s produced consensus on principles to guide regulatory decision making and reform programs.

- Recommended that regulations should:
  - serve clearly identified policy goals and be effective in achieving goals;
  - have a sound legal and empirical basis;
  - produce benefits that justify costs
  - minimize costs and market distortions;
  - promote innovation through market incentives and goal-based approaches;
  - be clear, simple, and practical for users;
  - be consistent with other regulations and policies; and
  - be compatible as far as possible with competition, trade and investment-facilitating principles at domestic and international levels.

SCSC Good Regulatory Practice

- In 1997, the SCSC links specific GRP principles with corresponding WTO TBT obligations in its “Guidelines for the preparation, adoption and review of technical regulations.”

  - E.g., GRP principle of "clearly identify goal" is directly related to the "legitimate objectives" of TBT Article 2.2.
  - Goal of regulation must meet criteria in Art 2.2.

Recent APEC work on GRP

- Efforts to design and apply longer term improvements to regulatory quality, including in developing economies.
- Country "case studies" and "self assessments" regarding OECD/APEC Checklist.
- Sectoral initiatives, including the Chemical Dialogue’s work on principles for chemical regulation, and the new Wine Regulatory Forum in the SCSC.

SCSC GRP Work on Trade

- Foster regulatory policies, institutions and tools designed to reduce unnecessary obstacles to trade.
- Improve consistency and transparency of regulations, standards and conformance to facilitate trade across economies.
- Promote adherence to WTO TBT and SPS Agreements.
Key U.S. Regulatory Policies with Trade Nexus

☐ Administrative Procedure Act - Mandates an open, transparent process of public notice and comment.

☐ Executive Order 12866 – Establishes the framework for decision making and oversight to ensure regulation brings the greatest possible net benefit.

☐ OMB Circular A-4 - Provides guidance on conducting regulatory impact analyses, including cost-benefit analysis and risk assessment.

Administrative Procedure Act

☐ Requires that agencies go through a “notice and comment” process open to all interested parties, both foreign and domestic.

☐ Before agencies can issue a final regulation, they must respond to the comments, make sure that the final regulation follows logically from the proposal and the public record, and is not arbitrary or capricious.

☐ The public record may be used by the courts in settling any challenge to the regulations brought by interested parties.
Executive Order 12866

- 1993 Presidential statement establishing the philosophy, principles and process for regulatory planning and review
- Recognizes that private sector and private market are the best engines for growth
- Constrains regulatory action to that necessary by law, to interpret law or compelling public need
- Requires cost-benefit analysis, both quantifiable and qualitative measures, of regulatory initiatives
- Establishes OMB as central oversight body responsible for ensuring regulations are consistent with the law, the President’s priorities and principles, and do not conflict with actions taken by other agencies

Executive Order 12866 - Guiding Principles for Rulemaking by Regulatory Agencies

- Identify the problem
- Identify and assess alternatives to direct regulation
- Consider the degree and nature of the risks posed
- Design regulation in most cost-effective manner to achieve regulatory objective
- Propose or adopt a regulation only upon a reasoned determination that the benefits justify the costs.
- Base decisions on the best scientific, technical and economic data available.
- Specify performance objectives, rather than specifying behavior or manner of compliance
- Tailor regulations to impose the least burden on society
- Avoid regulations that are inconsistent or incompatible with those of other agencies
Executive Order 12866 - Take Away Points

- Guides agencies in developing more beneficial, less intrusive and more cost-effective rules
- Well-done analyses provide exceptionally valuable decision-making tools for policy makers
- Consideration of impact on economy -- including international trade -- required for economically significant rules
- Centralized review of significant regulations by OMB, interagency participation and public participation, result in regulations that are much less likely to create trade barriers

Circular A-4: Guidance on Regulatory Analysis

- Provides detailed guidance on regulatory analysis to agencies
- Standardizes the way benefits and costs of regulatory actions are measured and reported
- Provides a formal way of organizing the evidence on key effects of various regulatory alternatives
Circular A-4: Good Regulatory Analysis

☐ Statement of the need for federal regulatory action
   ■ Market failure or other social purpose
   ■ Presumption against economic regulation

☐ An examination of alternative approaches
   ■ Market oriented approaches
   ■ Statutory discretion
   ■ Enforcement options/Compliance dates
   ■ Informational measures

☐ Evaluation of the costs and benefits -- quantitative and qualitative -- of proposed action and main alternatives

Circular A-4 - Trade Aspects

☐ While focus is on domestic impacts, trade considerations are covered, including evaluating concerns that new U.S. rules could act as non-tariff barriers to imported goods and looking at effects beyond the border

☐ Requires consideration of the role of regulation in facilitating U.S. participation in global markets

☐ Acknowledges importance of strong Federal regulatory role in harmonization of U.S and international rules

☐ RIA methodology is not explicit on how to evaluate effects beyond the borders
OMB-EC Dialogue on Regulatory Issues

- Dialogue established in 2005 between the OMB and relevant experts in the European Commission.
- Focus is to address methodological issues (e.g., impact assessment) in order to improve understanding of each others’ regulatory systems and practices.

OMB-EC Dialogue – Report Conclusions

- Value of timely announcement of planned legislative and regulatory initiatives, and of transparency in the associated impact analyses.
- Importance of transparency in impact assessment procedures and guidelines, accompanied by rigorous system of quality control.
- Making policy proposals and impact assessments public to allowing governments and stakeholders to respond in cases of where significant trade impact is expected.
OMB-EC Dialogue: Further Work

- Report concluded that guidance should be provided on the type of analysis needed to provide decision makers with information on international trade and investment impacts and their multilateral context.

- This could include:
  - an analysis demonstrating the need for any proposed regulation that might directly impede international trade or investment
  - an analysis of the degree to which different groups (foreign and domestic businesses and consumers) are affected by such a proposal or
  - a recommendation that existing international standards or regulatory approaches, if applicable, should be analyzed as an explicit regulatory alternative.

Conclusions

- As economic integration increases, domestic regulatory policies have greater affects on trading partners.

- Policy trade-offs can be better managed through a more thorough understanding of linkages that enable careful design of regulatory policies.

- APEC, and the SCSC, can continue to assist policy makers and the business community by furthering its work in identifying and exploring trade-related GRP issues.
Additional U.S. Perspectives on Regulation and Trade

U.S. communications to WTO TBT Committee

☐ “Good Regulatory Practice”
G/TBT/W/258 - October 2005

☐ “Determining the Need to Regulate”
G/TBT/W/285 - March 2008
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The ASEAN (Association of Southeast Asian Nations) EE MRA and AHEEER – A Model for Trade Facilitation

Teo Nam Kuan
Group Director (Quality and Standards)

9 August 2008

What is ASEAN?

- Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) - grouping of 10 Member States with population of more than 560 million in Southeast Asia
- Member States are: Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam
- In 2003, the ASEAN Leaders resolved that an ASEAN Community shall be established comprising three pillars, namely, ASEAN Security Community, ASEAN Economic Community and ASEAN Socio-Cultural Community
Outline

- ASEAN Sectoral Mutual Recognition Arrangement for Electrical & Electronic Equipment (ASEAN EE MRA)
- Agreement on the ASEAN Harmonised EEE Regulatory Regime (AHEEER)

ASEAN EE MRA - Background

- Developed by EPWG (Electrical Product Working Group)
  - EPWG was formed in 1999 and comprises ASEAN EEE regulators
  - main objective to develop the ASEAN EE MRA to support free trade initiatives
- MRA signed by ASEAN Economic Ministers (AEM) in 2002
- JSC EE MRA replaced EPWG upon signing of MRA by AEM
  - Key objective to implement the MRA
  - Serves as platform by each member state to provide latest update on its EEE Regulatory Regime
  - Designation of testing laboratories and certification bodies
Elements of the ASEAN EE MRA (1/2)

- Participation in acceptance of Test Reports
  - Regulator’s acceptance of testing undertaken by listed Testing Laboratories to Mandatory Requirements imposed by the regulators
  - Underpinned by the IECEE CB Scheme and the APLAC Multilateral MRA
  - Participation: ALL 10 Member States
  - Implementation: 14 Testing Laboratories listed (Indonesia-4, Malaysia-1, Philippines-1, Singapore-2, Thailand-4, Vietnam-2)

Elements of the ASEAN EE MRA (2/2)

- Participation in acceptance of Certification
  - Regulator’s acceptance of certification by listed Certification Bodies to Mandatory Requirements imposed by the regulator
  - Underpinned by the IECEE CB – FCS and PAC Multilateral MRA for Product Certification
  - Participation: 7 Member States
  - Implementation: 2 Product Certification Bodies listed (Singapore and Vietnam)
Agreement on the ASEAN Harmonised EEE Regulatory Regime (AHEEER) - Background

- Developed by JSC EE MRA Taskforce to support the realisation of the ASEAN Economic Community
- Common regulatory requirements for ASEAN members so as to facilitate intra-ASEAN trade and reduce cost and technical barriers to trade
- First draft discussed in 2004
- Finalised and signed by ASEAN Economic Ministers (AEM) on Dec 2005
- Agreement to be fully implemented by 31 Dec 2010

AHEEER - Who's responsible for implementing it? (1/2)

- JSC EEE (Joint Sectoral Committee for Electrical and Electronic Equipment)
- Formed after signing of AHEEER; replaced JSC EE MRA
- Key objectives
  - Oversees implementation of AHEER
  - Sets up the principle for acceptance of International Standards, e.g. IEC standards (where applicable), including based on specific edition as well as any newer editions
AHEEER - Who’s responsible for implementing it? (2/2)

- Key objectives (continued)
  - Designation of CABs to harmonised standards meeting Essential Requirements
  - Transpose national legislation to achieve harmonised regime
  - Harmonise conformity assessment procedures
AHEEER – Key elements (1/5)

- Essential Requirements for EEE
- Conformity Assessment Procedures

AHEEER – Key elements (2/5)

- Essential Requirements for EEE
  - Safety
  - Environment (e.g. energy efficiency)
  - Electromagnetic Compatibility
- Listed standards are deemed to meet Essential Requirements
AHEEER – Key elements (3/5)

- Conformity Assessment Procedures, Registration of EEE and Designation of CABs
  - Mechanism
  - Product Certification System

AHEEER – Key elements (4/5)

Mechanism

[Diagram showing the process of registration and certification]
AHEEER – Key elements (5/5)

**Harmonise conformity assessment procedure:**
- Medium Risk EEE → System 1 (Type Testing)
- High Risk EEE → System 5 (Type Testing + Factory Inspection)

---

**Differences between ASEAN EE MRA and AHEEER**

**ASEAN EE MRA**
- Technical Regulation / Mandatory Standards not required to be harmonised
- Conformity Assessment Procedure
- Laws & Administrative Provision may not require to be changed

**AHEEER**
- Harmonised Technical Regulation / Mandatory Standards
- Harmonised Conformity Assessment Procedure
- Harmonised Laws & Administrative Provision

*SHALL be in line with the Agreement*
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AHEEER - Outcome

- Supports ASEAN Economic Community – common market of more than 560 million people across 10 Member States
- Enhanced free flow of goods in the region as reduction in TBTs expected

Thank you for your attention.
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Why do we need wine regulation?

• Regulations exist to protect consumers and producers and to create a fair trading environment.
• These regulations can be developed by global organizations (WTO, CODEX), regional country groups, nation states, or local governments.
• Self-regulations (e.g. Codes of Best Practice) can be created by producer associations or influential corporate entities.
Influences over wine regulation?

- Governments set regulations to achieve policy outcomes
- Special interest groups often have significant influence over regulations.
- Examples of these special interests are environmental groups, consumer groups, nutrition and health advocates, and pro-trade groups, such as the WWTG.

Wine regulation meets a number of policy objectives

- Social policy
- Fiscal policy
- Consumer protection
- Consumer information
- Health and safety
- Quality Trademarks, Geographic Indications, Brands
Social policy

- Standard drinks
- Health warnings
- Allergen labelling
- Recycling symbols

Standard drinks

- Used to provide advice to drinkers on how to moderate their consumption
- Many different definitions, usually based on grams of alcohol per amount of beverage
- Can be linked to drink driving programs
- Can be a symbol or statement in different jurisdictions
Health warnings

- Used to provide advice to drinkers on harmful effects of alcohol consumption
- Different countries require different warnings
- Often linked to pregnancy / foetal alcohol syndrome
- Can be a symbol or statement in different jurisdictions

Allergen labeling

- Used to provide advice to drinkers on presence of potential allergenic material including eggs, milk, nuts, fish and sulphites.
- Regulations based on international recommendations from the Codex Alimentarius Commission
- Sulphites can be described using INS numbers in some countries.
- Issues surrounding language of declaration
Recycling symbols

- Used to provide information to consumers.
- Regulations based on horizontal legislation

Fiscal policy

- Used to collect taxes and tariffs
- Used for revenue raising purposes
- Some economies impose taxes/tariffs to try to reduce consumption (ineffective instrument that creates trade distortions; illegal trade and production and potential serous consumer impacts)
Health and safety

- Regulate additives and processing aids
- Regulate chemical usage on vineyards and residues in wine
- Hygiene standards
- Worker health and safety regulations

Consumer protection

- Ensure what is in the bottle is reflected in the label declaration
- Regulate production methods so consumers know what they are getting
- Harmonise regulations across producing economies
Quality – Trademarks, Geographic Indications, Brands

• TRIPS Agreement provides basis for GI and trademark protection – multilateral obligation for WTO members
• Key element in purchase decision and for consumer loyalty
• System requires integrity for consumer protection

Why are rules & regulations different?

• Rules that apply in each country reflect the history, culture and geography of that country.
• Differences in rules between economies give rise to barriers to trade, by increasing costs of printing different labels for different markets, in requiring different production methods, and in terms of logistics.
• Differing regulations also increases the possibility of consumer confusion.
What are the drivers to reduce these barriers?

- WTO agreements specifically instruct member governments to reduce technical barriers to trade arising from differing national regulations.
- Industry and producer groups also promote these activities because of their potential to reduce costs, facilitate trade flows and reduce consumer confusion.

What are the mechanisms to reduce these barriers?

The WTO identifies three mechanisms for facilitating trade:

1. Harmonisation
2. Equivalence
3. Mutual recognition/mutual acceptance

These three mechanisms are closely related, but not interchangeable.
Harmonisation

- Involves the adjustment of standards, regulations and procedures until they are the same.
- This can be done by:
  - Upward harmonisation
  - Downward harmonisation
  - Compromise harmonisation (new standard)

Mechanisms to harmonise

- Bilateral agreements - eg. Chile-European Free Trade Agreement
- Multilateral agreements
- International Standard Setting Organisations
  - International Organisation for Standardisation (ISO)
- International Reference bodies OIV; OIML
Equivalence

- Does not require adjustment of national standards (key difference to harmonisation)
- Requires a determination that the two standards/procedures address similar regulatory objectives or achieve similar results even though they are not identical.

Mutual recognition

- Also known as mutual acceptance
- Vehicle for regulatory cooperation
- Can be based on harmonisation, equivalence or external criteria
What is an MRA?

- The parties to a MRA agree to accept the other parties standards because they are:
  - Equivalent; or
  - Harmonised; or
  - Meet some other mutually identified criteria
- Most MRAs based on equivalence or external criteria

Why is harmonisation difficult?

- Differing regulatory philosophy
- Harmonisation requires regulatory change
  - Bureaucrats don’t like change
- Regulatory change can create precedents for other goods /services
- Differing policy objectives
The origin of MRA

- A result of the creation of the European Union
- Extended now to underpin international trade negotiations
- Fundamental principle of WTO
- Underpins APEC

The Mutual Recognition Principle

Mutual Recognition is the principle of law under which Member States must allow goods that are legally sold in another Member State to be sold within their own territory. Member States are only allowed to derogate from this principle if they adopt their own national technical rules which are proportionate and which are justified by the EU Treaty or by overriding requirements of public interest as defined by the European Court of Justice.
Mutual Recognition in the WTO context

- Mutual recognition is encouraged in the WTO.
- Article 7 of GATS encourages signatories to adopt measures, by way of bilateral agreements or “autonomously”, in order “to recognise the education or experience obtained, requirements met, or licences or certification obtained in a particular country”.
- Under the TBT agreement “Members are encouraged, at the request of other members, to be willing to enter into negotiations for the conclusion of agreements for the mutual recognition of results of each other’s conformity assessment procedures... (article 6.3).”
- The TBT also includes a stronger obligation regarding unilateral recognition of equivalence: “6.1. Members shall ensure, whenever possible, that results of conformity assessment in other Members are accepted, even when those procedures differ from their own ... (article 6.1).”

Regulatory philosophies

- In the past the regulatory philosophies of the ‘Old World’ economies differ markedly from those of so-called ‘New World’ wine production economies.
- Most issues arise when production standards within a country are applied as market standards for imported products.
- These differences are narrowing as government and industries in both the ‘Old’ and ‘New World’ begin to understand that inefficient and inconsistent regulation harms the sector as a whole.
Proposed wine market reform in Europe

- In the face of over-supply, decreasing consumption and increased competition. The European Commission have put forward a number of proposals for wide ranging reforms
- Proposals to adopt similar regulatory philosophy to the "New World"

Common to all proposals are:

- The rigidity of procedures for adopting and adapting Winemaking practices hinders competitiveness.
- EU regulations are too complex, notably on definitions and Winemaking practices.
- No reference in Community legislation to the concept of geographical indication as defined by the WTO’s Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPs) Agreement.
- Customer confusion about what a geographic indication means.
Common labeling proposals:

- Consumers are confused by wine labels resulting from a complex legal system which deal differently with several wine categories and with some particulars depending on the product;
- Inflexible labeling rules hamper the marketing of European wines.
- Non-EU countries regularly criticise European labeling policy as far as the sales designation, the use of optional indications, the reservation of bottle shapes and the policy on traditional terms are concerned.

This new found commonality between the producing economies means that we now have a real chance of harmonising trade restrictive regulations or through mutual recognition facilitating trade.
To sum up......

- Mutual recognition is a mechanism that allows access to markets after standards have been set.
- Harmonisation and equivalence allow a wine producer to make and present wine in a single fashion in all markets.

Effective Regulations

- An appropriate instrument that is sufficient to meet the objective (whether treaty, regulation, code of practice etc) in clear and easily understood language, taking into consideration the compliance requirements of both local and foreign producers.
- Each instrument is reviewed periodically to assess current relevance.
- The goal is to ensure that the overall framework of regulation is appropriate, effective, and not duplicative or overly-burdensome.
Components of the Regulatory Framework

Intergovernmental Standards

• International agreements adopted by bodies such as Codex Alimentarius have grown in significance and often serve as a catalyst to the formation of regional and national regulations.

• Allergen labeling provides a recent example of this, being adopted into the Codex Alimentarius food labeling standard in the late 1990s and stimulating member countries (beginning with Australia) to require such labeling for foods (including wine) in national regulations.

• Even where the agreements of such bodies are not directly translated into regulation, they are still important reference texts on the subjects covered, and may be used to help resolve trade disputes between WTO member countries.

Bi-lateral and Multi-lateral Agreements

• There is a plethora of agreements that help to shape the global trading environment for wine.

• Some of these are Free Trade Agreements between countries, some are commodity-specific, such as the WWTG Mutual Acceptance Agreement on Oenological Practices or the many bilateral wine trade agreements that have been negotiated between the EU and its principal trading partners.

• These play a significant part in the overall global regulatory framework for wine.
Government regulation

- This type of regulation can impose a huge burden on producers without commensurate benefits, a fact that is acknowledged in the recently proposed EU wine reform package.
- The recognition of this potential imbalance between legislative burden and beneficial outcome has led to the development among governments in Europe of the concept of “Better Regulation” specifically for government regulations, but containing principles of general application across all facets of the regulatory framework:

General principles of ‘Better regulation’

- Regulate as a last resort and not as a first resort.
- Regulate only after all other options have been excluded.
- Be clear about the cost of regulatory proposals.
- Regulate only when the overall benefit outweighs the burden and cost to individuals and businesses.
Specific principles of ‘Better regulation’

- Proportionate  The remedy must match the risk
- Accountable  To all stakeholders
- Consistent  With other regulations and risks
- Transparent  Keep it simple, clear and open
- Targeted  Focus on the problem

In a complete regulatory framework, there will always be a place for well constructed and targeted guidance documents, Codes of Practice etc.

These can enter into greater detail than might be possible or desirable and can also generally be prepared and revised more rapidly than is possible for formal regulations. In the wine sector, FIVS has produced several documents of this type, including:

- Guiding Principles for Advertising and Marketing Practices for Alcohol Beverages
- Good Fining Practice Guidelines
- Global Wine Sector Environmental Sustainability
Retailer “regulation”

• As retailers extend their distribution outside of national boundaries and fight for competitive positions, a new tier of technical requirements has begun to appear, as retailers have imposed their own standards on their suppliers.

• These requirements are in areas such as quality management and sustainable practices but may also include labeling to supply customers with additional data, such as nutritional or health information.

Conclusion

• APEC has led the world in establishing mutual recognition systems.

• The WWTG is also providing leadership in the field of mutual recognition for the grape and wine sector.

• MRAs are good for producers and consumers.

• MRAs allow traditional methods to be maintained while facilitating trade.
The role of APEC

• APEC contains major consuming and producing wine economies
• The SCSC has set up a wine regulatory forum
• APEC has led the world in establishing mutual recognition systems.

Wine Regulatory Forum

• The WRF will commence dialogue and exchange ideas on wine regulation.
  - These experts would share experiences and knowledge, in particular in relation to involvement and obligations with the various relevant international fora, including *inter alia*, the Codex Alimentarius Commission, World Trade Organisation (WTO), International Organisation of Wine and the Vine (OIV), and the World Wine Trade Group (WWTG).
  - This consultation would also look at the benefits for APEC Economies and the APEC region, in particular the benefits to consumers by improving consumer protection through better wine regulation, without forming a barrier to trade. A key thrust of these consultations would be to provide capacity building in the area of wine regulation.
  - This group could then bring forward a project proposal for Trade & Investment Liberalization & Facilitation (TILF) funding to be considered inter-sessionally. This would enable the holding of training workshops for wine regulators.
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DRAFT TERMS OF REFERENCE

• Goal
  □ To examine options to simplify and harmonise wine regulation across the APEC region, reduce technical barriers to trade and protect consumers.
  □ To share information and build capacity in wine regulation across the APEC region.
  □ Communications and Administrative Arrangements
  □ Contact details of wine regulation focal points to be provided by member economies to the Australian contact point by the end of 14 March 2008
  □ The Wine Regulatory Forum will report to SCSC via presentation and/or written statements tabled at SCSC plenary.
  □ The work of the Wine Regulatory Forum will, wherever possible, be carried out via electronic communications.

DRAFT TERMS OF REFERENCE (2)

• Modalities for Cooperation
  □ Establishment of a Wine Regulatory Forum, under the auspices of SCSC, to undertake a stock take on existing wine regulations and recommend action on future cooperation and harmonisation opportunities.
  □ The Wine Regulatory Forum initially to be chaired by Australia and include representatives from all interested APEC economies.
  □ The Food Safety Forum has a strong relationship with the intended activities of the Wine Regulatory Forum (in particular the sub-theme: Legal and technical drafting to support the development of food laws and regulations harmonized with international standards). The Wine Regulatory Forum should seek to consult with and coordinate with the activities of the Food Safety Forum to facilitate the best use of capacity building activities and ensure maximum efficiency of time and resources between both Forums.
  □ Participation from domestic and regional wine regulatory agencies is encouraged.
  □ The Wine Regulatory Forum will place high priority on an examination of capacity building needs in the region. It will also consider the potential benefits of running capacity building training workshops in the field of wine regulation with the support Trade & Investment Liberalization & Facilitation (TILF) funding.
Other SCSC activities

- This activity has a strong relationship with the Food Safety Forum.
- The Food Safety Forum has produced a strategic approach for SPS activities that has four key strands, including one on Food safety regulatory systems, with a sub-theme: Legal and technical drafting to support the development of food laws and regulations harmonized with international standards. This activity, which links the wine industry engagement with food regulators fits within that strand.
- This activity is a model case study for capacity building with a view to regulatory alignment, where you have an industry that is keen to foster trade, and governments keen to protect consumers, rather than use regulation as a form of protectionism.

And APEC?....

- WRF provides APEC with a springboard to reduce trade barriers for wine; strengthen regulatory capacity and develop better policy.
- Areas of activity could include:
  - Carbon accounting protocols
  - Capacity building
  - Better Regulation
  - Relationships between regulators
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INTRODUCTION

Why to improve Technical Regulations?

Purpose of public policy: Rigorous production of Technical Regulations by authorities. A systematic process based on:

- Analysis of alternative regulations
- Inclusive and equilibrated public consultation
- Cost – benefit analysis
- Accountability

Improvement in regulations results in benefits: a more competitive country.

INTRODUCTION

- A badly designed technical regulation implies:
  - Costs exceed benefits
  - Judicial uncertainty
  - Possible generation of Technical Barriers to Trade
  - Unnecessary, complex and costly administrative procedures
  - Possible corruption
  - Proliferation of administrative legal protection
  - Non resolution of problems and rising of new ones: solution is sought through new regulations (vicious circle)

- Bad regulations infringe the rule of law
Types of Non Tariff Barriers

- Technical Regulations
- Product Standards
- Conformity Assessment Procedures

Technical Barriers To Trade

Non Tariff Barriers

Unjustified Costs (overtax)

Unnecessary Administrative Procedures

LEGAL FRAMEWORK
Peru: Evolution in Technical Regulations

National Framework:
- In the 1990s: Laws related to obligations associated to non tariff commercial barriers

Supranational Framework:
- Inclusion of WTO TBT Agreement and Andean Community Decisions
LEGAL FRAMEWORK
Peru: Evolution in Technical Regulations

- Peruvian Political Constitution (1993), Article 63°
  - Investment without discrimination
  - Free production and trade

- Legislative Decree 668 (September 1991)
  - Guarantees for free domestic and international trade
  - Transparency - Non discrimination in laws and regulations
  - Proscription of Non Tariff Barriers, except for legitimate objectives

LEGAL FRAMEWORK
Peru: Evolution in Technical Regulations

- Law Decree 25629 (July 1992)
  - Restrictions to trade only via Supreme Decree and ratification by the Ministry of Economics and Finance - MEF

- Law Decree 25868 (November 1992)
  - CRT: Defense of free trade laws, may revoke non-tariff restrictions (ex-post)
LEGAL FRAMEWORK
Peru: Evolution in Technical Regulations

• Legislative Resolution 26407 (January 1995)
  WTO Agreements are integrated into Peruvian legislation

• Supreme Decree N°058-2005-EF, (May 2005)
  Only MEF or a delegate entity issues import authorizations.
  Reiteration of Law Decree 25629

• Supreme Decree N°149-2005-EF (November 2005)
  WTO TBT Agreement provisions are regulated

• Ministerial Resolution N°639-2006-EF (November 2006)
  Guidebook for economic and legal analysis of regulations
  issued by the Ministry of Economics and Finance - MEF

Supreme Decree N° 149-2005-EF

Purpose: To regulate WTO TBT Agreement provisions, as well as the WTO General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) provisions.

• Administrative procedures that affect free trade are approved by Supreme Decree with ratification by MEF and any other Ministry involved (Art.1).

• It defines: Technical Regulation, Standard and Procedures for conformity assessment, based on WTO TBT Agreement and Andean Decision 562 (Art.2).
Supreme Decree N° 149-2005-EF

The authority which issues the technical regulation must:

a) Supervise compliance (Art.3).

b) Establish procedures to certify compliance. Equivalent requirements for nationals and foreigners. (Arts. 4 y 9).

c) Publish the project 90 days before enactment

d) Publish the Technical Regulation at least 6 months before its coming into force (Art.7).

Supreme Decree N° 149-2005-EF

- Proof of Compliance with Technical Regulation with one year validity. May be renewed (Art.10).

Additional Comments: This Supreme Decree does not affect the scope of sanitary and phytosanitary measures.
Ministerial Resolution N°639-2006-EF

- Guidebook to promote the efficient development of regulations:
  - Homogeneous Criteria and procedures
  - Implementation of effective and trustworthy filters

- It is backed by the following principles:
  - Need (prior evidence of the need to regulate)
  - Effectiveness (clear objective and means to achieve it)
  - Proportionality (cost-benefit analysis or impact)
  - Transparency (prior publication)
  - Consistency (regulations are duly coordinated)

- It applies to all dispositions issued by MEF and is starting point to analyze Technical Regulations before they are ratified.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK
Peru: Evolution in Technical Regulations

Supranational Framework (Andean Community):

- Decision 419: Andean System for Standardization, Accreditation, Testing, Certification, Technical Regulations and Metrology

- Decision 506: Recognition of product certificates (with technical regulation) issued by accredited or recognized bodies
LEGAL FRAMEWORK
Peru: Evolution in Technical Regulations

Supranational Framework (Andean Community):

- **Decision 562**: Legal framework for the development, adoption and implementation of technical regulations by members

- **Decision 615**: Andean Community Information System for Notification of Technical Regulations - SIRT

**Decision 562**

A Technical Regulation must include:

1. Objective and purpose
2. Products covered
3. Technical Regulation specific technical contents: definitions, description of products’ general characteristics, requirements and reference to standards
4. Administrative procedure for its application, including conformity assessment procedures
5. Date of entry into force
Decision 562

(...)

6. Authorized Conformity Assessment bodies
7. Authority in charge of supervising compliance with technical regulation
8. Type of supervision and/or control
9. Sanctions regime

NATIONAL EXPERIENCE
Developing and implementing Technical Regulations

- MEF: Ex-ante control through ratification
- MINCETUR: International commercial negotiations. Notifies Technical Regulations to the WTO. Gives opinion on Technical Regulations’ contents
- INDECOPI: Ex-post control. May revoke regulations which qualify as non-tariff restrictions

MEF: Ministry of Economics and Finance
MINCETUR: Ministry of Foreign Trade and Tourism
NATIONAL EXPERIENCE
Developing and implementing Technical Regulations

Notification (TBT – WTO):
- Responsible: MINCETUR–Vice ministry of Foreign Trade
  - makes consultations and coordinates with competent authorities
  - Proposes rectifications
- Notification at two levels
  a) SIRT (Andean Community - CAN) ➔ All Technical Regulations, including legal text
  b) TBT (WTO) ➔ Technical Regulations which differ from international standards

(...)

NATIONAL EXPERIENCE
Developing and implementing Technical Regulations

Notification (TBT – WTO):
- From 1999 to 2007 21 TR were notified
- Main sectors: Health, Agriculture, Industry and Energy

Information Service (TBT – WTO):
- Enquiry Point: INDECOPI – Technical and Commercial Regulations Commission
NATIONAL EXPERIENCE
Developing and implementing Technical Regulations

Technical Regulations Inventory

- MINCETUR manages the Technical Regulations data base
- To develop the inventory, a guide was prepared to identify TR from other regulations. It is based on the WTO TBT and Andean Decision 562. The guide includes:
  a) Criteria to identify TR
  b) Steps to follow for identification
  c) TR Examples
- Soon there will be access to the TR Inventory through the Internet.
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#### Table 1: Regulatory Form Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entidad Reguladora</td>
<td>Name of the Regulatory Entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispositivo</td>
<td>Type of Instrument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fecha Ejecución</td>
<td>Date of Execution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambito de aplicación</td>
<td>Scope of Application</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Regulations

1) **Dispositivo Legal**
   - Legal Provision: N° 25,300-2012

2) **Veda**
   - Temporal Veda on Export and Import

3) **Remanentes**
   - Establish regulatory requirements applicable to all aspects of the industry, as necessary and important.

4) **Textos anexos y conocidos**

5) **Entidad Reguladora**
   - Regulatory Authority: Superintendencia de la Producción

6) **Entidad Supervisora**
   - Responsible Authority: Superintendencia del Ministerio de Producción, Directorate of the Ministry of Production, Department of Production, Ministry of Production, Ministry of the Production Department.

7) **Mecanismos de Control**
   - Control Mechanisms: Vigilancia en Mercado

8) **Fecha de Entrada en Vigencia**
   - Date of Entry into Effect: After the publication date

9) **Ámbito de Aplicación**
   - Scope of Application: Detailed list of products included in the scope of application.

---

*Indecopi*
NATIONAL EXPERIENCE
Developing and implementing Technical Regulations

- Activities to raise awareness among competent authorities, providing guidelines and best practices for the development of technical regulations (MINCETUR, INDECOPI)

- Progress with users (consumers, exporters, importers) regarding knowledge of WTO TBT Agreement: scope, procedures and mechanisms

- The APEC Good Regulatory Practice Guide has been used.

- There has been progress, but there is still work to be done.

Criteria which guide good regulatory practices

Indecopi establishes if TR is illegal or irrational and may declare it inapplicable.

Indecopi has issued administrative resolutions which have established regulation evaluation criteria:

- Justification: Facts that justify it

- Proportionality: Does it relate to the objectives it intends to protect?

- Non discrimination: Does the Technical Regulation apply to all products, regardless of their origin?

- Adoption of the least restrictive measure: Is the selected Technical Regulation the least restrictive among the alternatives?
THANK YOU VERY MUCH!

Augusto Mello Romero
Email: amello@indecopi.gob.pe

INDECOPI suma esfuerzos al servicio del mercado
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Closing Remarks

Mr. Waldy Bejarano, Foreign Trade and Tourism, Peru

On behalf of the Ministry of Foreign Trade and Tourism of Peru it is my pleasure to close the 5th Conference on Good Regulatory Practice. This Conference is one of the activities under the APEC Sub Committee on Standards and Conformance. It was organized by Peru, and co-sponsored by Chile, New Zealand and the United States of America.

Most of over 100 delegates from the government agencies, industries, academia and standards and conformance bodies of 19 APEC Member Economies have attended this conference. Member economy speakers from Australia, Canada, Chile, New Zealand, Singapore, United States of America, as well as a representative from the Specialists Regional Bodies (PASC) made presentations.

The Conference was divided into four sessions. The first session highlighted the need for sound regulatory policies and coordination in each economy that allow for and promote Good Regulatory Practice, in particular the use of international standards and internationally accepted conformance practices to meet trade and regulatory needs.

The second session highlighted that guidance is required on the type of analysis needed to provide regulators with information on international trade and investment impacts and their multilateral context. It also highlighted that harmonization as well as MRAs can be effective in enhancing trade in the region by reducing TBTs.

The third session underlined the fact that performance based regulations can effectively serve APEC economies as a basis for harmonization and MRA's.

The fourth session highlighted the need of raising the awareness and visibility among high-level regulatory authorities of the need of sound regulatory practice and the use of using as guidance the "APEC Information Notes on Good Practice for Technical Regulations".

An issue highlighted during the different sessions was the importance of a system approach to the development of regulations, with adequate institutional structures and consistency and alignment among all participants and taking into account an effective participation in international standards and conformance organizations and multilateral agencies in order to harmonize technical and procedural criteria. This required capacity building tools and mechanisms to continuously train and guide personnel from the government agencies, industries, academia and standards and conformance bodies on the different aspects of Good Regulatory Practice, including on the type of analysis needed to provide regulators with information on international trade and investment impacts and their multilateral context.

Participants put forth the following recommendations for further SCSC work on Good Regulatory Practice:

- Stock take of APEC/SCSC work on Good Regulatory Practice to assist in determining whether the guidance documents produced are current or in need of updating, including in relationship to specific developing economy needs.

- Review the WTO Technical Barriers to Trade Committee work on Good Regulatory Practice.

- Gather information documents on Good Regulatory Practice from APEC Member Economies and make them available through an APEC public website.

The Conference provided an opportunity for APEC Member Economies to gain better knowledge on sound regulatory policies that meet regulatory needs and lead to reductions in regulatory barriers to trade. This
knowledge should be considered as one of the means for assisting APEC Member Economies in meeting their international obligations under the WTO TBT Agreement and their commitment under the APEC Bogor Declaration.

The 5th Conference provided an opportunity for APEC Member Economies to exchange information on regulatory systems and share experiences in establishing Good Regulatory Practice.

The Conference also contributed to help APEC Member Economies to further consider the priorities for capacity building in the area of Good Regulatory Practice in the future, and interact with the private sector to explore the potential for future collaborative capacity building activities on Good Regulatory Practice.

As Chair of this Conference and Project Overseer, at the upcoming SCSC meeting I will recommend that the SCSC:

- Note that the APEC funded Conference organized by Peru, entitled 5th Conference on Good Regulatory Practice held on 9 August in Cusco, Peru involving over 100 delegates from the government agencies, industries, academia and standards and conformance bodies of 19 APEC Member Economies.
- Note the Report of the Conference, including the recommendations contained therein.
- Agree that the SCSC will give effect to these recommendations in its work program.
- Report the outcomes of this Conference as an important activity under SCSC to the CTI meeting in this August.

These important outcomes of the Conference were possible thanks to the support of the co-sponsoring economies Chile, New Zealand and the United States of America, the speakers from 6 APEC Member Economies and PASC, and the attendance of delegates from the government agencies, industries, academia and standards and conformance bodies of 19 APEC Member Economies, to all of you, my recognition and gratitude for your active cooperation and participation.

Thank you very much.
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Thanks for your participation!
### Participant List 5th GRP

#### Economy: Australia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Tel.</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Brian</td>
<td>Phillips</td>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>Department of Innovation Industry Science &amp; Research</td>
<td>+61-2-6213-6156</td>
<td><a href="mailto:brian.phillips@innovation.gov.au">brian.phillips@innovation.gov.au</a></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Karen</td>
<td>Hitchiner</td>
<td>Manager, International Development</td>
<td>Standards Australia</td>
<td>+644-498-5945</td>
<td>+644-498-5994</td>
<td><a href="mailto:karen.hitchiner@standards.org.au">karen.hitchiner@standards.org.au</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Tucker</td>
<td>CEO</td>
<td>Standards Australia</td>
<td>+61-2-9237-6124</td>
<td>+61-2-9237-6030</td>
<td><a href="mailto:john.tucker@standards.org.au">john.tucker@standards.org.au</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Anthony Bataglene</td>
<td>Director International &amp; Regulatory Affairs</td>
<td>Winemakers Federation of Australia</td>
<td>+61-2-6239-8304</td>
<td>+61-2-6260-7900</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tony@wfa.org.au">tony@wfa.org.au</a></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Economy: Brunei Darussalam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Tel.</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Rosalind</td>
<td>Khant</td>
<td>Executive Engineer</td>
<td>Ministry of Development</td>
<td>+673-2381124</td>
<td>+673-2381541</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rosalind.khan@mod.gov.bn">rosalind.khan@mod.gov.bn</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Economy: Chile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Tel.</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Edgardo</td>
<td>Perez</td>
<td>Economic Adviser</td>
<td>General Directorate for</td>
<td>56-2-8275487</td>
<td>56-2-8275459</td>
<td><a href="mailto:eperez@direcon.cl">eperez@direcon.cl</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title Name</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Tel.</td>
<td>Fax</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Carolina</td>
<td>Ramirez</td>
<td>Adviser on Technical Barriers to Trade</td>
<td>International Economic Affairs / Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
<td>56-2-8275533</td>
<td>56-23809494</td>
<td><a href="mailto:caramlrez@direcon.cl">caramlrez@direcon.cl</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Economy: China, People’s Republic of**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Tel.</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Chunjing</td>
<td>DU</td>
<td>Deputy Director</td>
<td>CNCA</td>
<td>86-10-82262669</td>
<td>86-10-82260819</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dchj@cnca.gov.cn">dchj@cnca.gov.cn</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Economy: Chinese Taipei**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Tel.</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Mei-Hua</td>
<td>Chen</td>
<td>Assistant</td>
<td>The Bureau of Standards, Metrology and Inspection</td>
<td>886-2-33453115</td>
<td>886-2-23431804</td>
<td><a href="mailto:edie.chen@bsmi.gov.tw">edie.chen@bsmi.gov.tw</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Ruenn-Lan</td>
<td>Feng</td>
<td>Senior Specialist</td>
<td>Department of Health</td>
<td>886-2-232-015-345</td>
<td>886-2-23929923</td>
<td><a href="mailto:fsfeng@doh.gov.tai">fsfeng@doh.gov.tai</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Economy: Hong Kong, China**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Tel.</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Wing Yee, Winnie</td>
<td>TO</td>
<td>Manager (Product Standards)</td>
<td>The Government of the Hong Kong, SAR</td>
<td>(852) 28294823</td>
<td>(852) 28241302</td>
<td><a href="mailto:wwyto@itc.gov.hk">wwyto@itc.gov.hk</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Economy: Japan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Tel.</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Shigekazu</td>
<td>Fukunaga</td>
<td>Deputy Director</td>
<td>Ministry of Economy Trade and Industry</td>
<td>+81-3-3501-9471</td>
<td><a href="mailto:fukunaga-shigekazu@meti.go.jp">fukunaga-shigekazu@meti.go.jp</a></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Tomohide</td>
<td>Inaba</td>
<td>Chief</td>
<td>Ministry of Economy Trade and Industry</td>
<td>+81-3-3501-9471</td>
<td><a href="mailto:inaba-tomohide@meti.go.jp">inaba-tomohide@meti.go.jp</a></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Economy: Korea, Republic of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Tel.</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Dong Ju</td>
<td>Yu</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>Korean Agency for Technology and Standards, Ministry of Knowledge Economy</td>
<td>+82-2-509-7400</td>
<td>+82-2-509-7400</td>
<td><a href="mailto:standard@kats.go.kr">standard@kats.go.kr</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Eung Jo</td>
<td>Park</td>
<td>Assistant Deputy Director</td>
<td>Korean Agency for Technology and Standards, Ministry of Knowledge Economy</td>
<td>+82-2-509-7400</td>
<td>+82-2-509-7344</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ejpark@kats.go.kr">ejpark@kats.go.kr</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Jee Youn</td>
<td>Song</td>
<td>International Cooperation Coordinator</td>
<td>Korean Agency for Technology and Standards, Ministry of Knowledge Economy</td>
<td>+82-2-509-7400</td>
<td>+82-2-509-7344</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tingkle@kats.go.kr">tingkle@kats.go.kr</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Economy: New Zealand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Tel.</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Sima</td>
<td>Karapeva</td>
<td>Senior Analyst</td>
<td>Ministry of Economic</td>
<td>0064-4-470-2283</td>
<td>0064-4-499-8508</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sima.karapeeva@med.govt.nz">sima.karapeeva@med.govt.nz</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Economy: Papua New Guinea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Tel.</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Dan</td>
<td>Yansom</td>
<td>Assistant Director, Technical Standards</td>
<td>National Institute of Standards &amp; Industrial Technology</td>
<td>+(675) 323-1852</td>
<td>+(675) 325-8793</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dan.yansom@nisit.gov.pg">dan.yansom@nisit.gov.pg</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Timothy</td>
<td>Kepou</td>
<td>Assistant Director Certification</td>
<td>National Institute of Standards &amp; Industrial Technology</td>
<td>+(675) 323-1852</td>
<td>+(675) 325-8793</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tkepou@nisit.gov.pg">tkepou@nisit.gov.pg</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Economy: Peru

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Tel.</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Julia</td>
<td>Canchuca</td>
<td>Profesional en Reglamentos Técnicos</td>
<td>Ministry of Production</td>
<td>616-2222 Ext. 841</td>
<td>616-2222 Ext. 805</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jcanchuca@produce.gob.pe">jcanchuca@produce.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>María</td>
<td>Valle</td>
<td>Abogada</td>
<td>Ministry of Production</td>
<td>616-2222 Ext. 826</td>
<td>616-2222 Ext. 805</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rvalle@produce.gob.pe">rvalle@produce.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Javier</td>
<td>Butron</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>Ministry of Production</td>
<td>616-2222 Ext. 805</td>
<td>616-2222 Ext. 805</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jbutron@produce.gob.pe">jbutron@produce.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Elizabeth</td>
<td>Herrera</td>
<td>Asesor in Standardization and Quality</td>
<td>Ministry of Production</td>
<td>616-2222 Ext. 804</td>
<td>616-2222 Ext. 804</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lherrera@produce.gob.pe">lherrera@produce.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Fernando</td>
<td>Cerna</td>
<td>Assistant</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreing Trade and Tourism</td>
<td>513-6100 Ext. 1206</td>
<td>513-6100 Ext. 1265</td>
<td><a href="mailto:fcerna@mincetur.gob.pe">fcerna@mincetur.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Augusto</td>
<td>Mello</td>
<td>Secretario Técnico</td>
<td>INDECOPI</td>
<td>224-7800 Ext. 1261</td>
<td>224-7800 Ext. 1329</td>
<td><a href="mailto:amello@indecopi.gob.pe">amello@indecopi.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Odette</td>
<td>Herbozo</td>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>INDECOPI</td>
<td>2247800 Ext. 1262</td>
<td>224-7800 Ext. 1329</td>
<td><a href="mailto:oherbozo@brk.com.pe">oherbozo@brk.com.pe</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Estela</td>
<td>Contreras</td>
<td>Comisión de Reglamentos Técnicos y Comerciales</td>
<td>INDECOPI</td>
<td>2247800 Ext. 1261</td>
<td>2247800 Ext. 1695</td>
<td><a href="mailto:econtreras@indecopi.gob.pe">econtreras@indecopi.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Marcelo</td>
<td>Aguilar</td>
<td>Secretariat of the Standardization Andean Net – RAN</td>
<td>General Secretariat of the Andean Community - SGCA</td>
<td>411-1400 Ext. 1376</td>
<td>221-3329</td>
<td><a href="mailto:maguilar@comunidadandina.org">maguilar@comunidadandina.org</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Julian</td>
<td>Gamero</td>
<td>Bilateral and Technical Affairs</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Trade and Tourism</td>
<td>513-6100 Ext. 8021</td>
<td>5136100 Ext. 8002</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jgamero@mincetur.gob.pe">jgamero@mincetur.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Lida</td>
<td>Castillo</td>
<td>Adviser</td>
<td>Ministry of Health</td>
<td>422-8159</td>
<td>422-8456</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lcastillo@digemid.minsa.gob.pe">lcastillo@digemid.minsa.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Jacquelin</td>
<td>Neumann</td>
<td>Adviser</td>
<td>Ministry of Health</td>
<td>422-8159</td>
<td>422-8456</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jneumann@digemid.minsa.gob.pe">jneumann@digemid.minsa.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Miguel</td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Specialist</td>
<td>Ministry of</td>
<td>349-6223</td>
<td>349-6223</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mwatts@minag.gob.pe">mwatts@minag.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Laiter</td>
<td>Garcia</td>
<td>Specialist</td>
<td>National Agrarian Health Service (SENASA)</td>
<td>313-3311</td>
<td><a href="mailto:lgarcia@senasa.gob.pe">lgarcia@senasa.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Victor</td>
<td>Rojas</td>
<td>Custom Specialist</td>
<td>National Superintendence of Tax Administration (SUNAT)</td>
<td>511-219-0430 Ext. 20054</td>
<td>511-219-0430 Ext. 20052</td>
<td><a href="mailto:vrojas@sunat.gob.pe">vrojas@sunat.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Juan</td>
<td>Ugaz</td>
<td>Director in Farm Inputs</td>
<td>National Agrarian Health Service (SENASA)</td>
<td>313-3300 Ext. 2131</td>
<td>313-3302</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jugaz@senasa.gob.pe">jugaz@senasa.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Karem</td>
<td>Larrauri</td>
<td>Specialist in Rationalization</td>
<td>National Agrarian Health Service (SENASA)</td>
<td>313-300 Ext. 2346</td>
<td>340-1486</td>
<td><a href="mailto:klarrauri@senasa.gob.pe">klarrauri@senasa.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Elisa</td>
<td>García</td>
<td>Import Specialist</td>
<td>National Agrarian Health Service (SENASA)</td>
<td>340-1486 Ext. 2047</td>
<td>340-1486 Ext. 2001</td>
<td><a href="mailto:egarcia@senasa.gob.pe">egarcia@senasa.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. María Teresa</td>
<td>González</td>
<td>Asistente Administrativa</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
<td>231617</td>
<td>232412</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cuzco@ree.gob.pe">cuzco@ree.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Dunia</td>
<td>Mamanillo</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>DIRECUTUR CUSCO</td>
<td>223701 Ext. 120</td>
<td>223761</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dmamanillo@dirceturcusco.gob.pe">dmamanillo@dirceturcusco.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Ernesto</td>
<td>Verlarde Valladares</td>
<td>Gerente CERX</td>
<td>CERX CUSCO</td>
<td>084-223701 Ext. 128</td>
<td>084-223761</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cerx_cusco@mincetur.gob.pe">cerx_cusco@mincetur.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Ana María</td>
<td>Enciso Coronado</td>
<td>Coordinadora Regional</td>
<td>PROMPERU</td>
<td>223952</td>
<td>223952</td>
<td><a href="mailto:aenciso@promperu.gob.pe">aenciso@promperu.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Julio</td>
<td>Gutierrez Samanéz</td>
<td>Consultor</td>
<td>PROMPERU</td>
<td>223952</td>
<td>223952</td>
<td><a href="mailto:opcusco@promperu.gob.pe">opcusco@promperu.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Rossmery</td>
<td>Quispe Ugarte</td>
<td>Jefatura</td>
<td>Central de Cooperativas Agrarias Cafeteras COCLA</td>
<td>084-281377 Ext. 115</td>
<td>084-281377 Ext. 111</td>
<td><a href="mailto:coclacof@gmail.com">coclacof@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Francisco</td>
<td>De Pomar Coronado</td>
<td>Consultor</td>
<td>PROMPERU</td>
<td>223952</td>
<td>223952</td>
<td><a href="mailto:opcusco@promperu.gob.pe">opcusco@promperu.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Flor de Ituri Chaves</td>
<td>Asistente de</td>
<td>DIRECUTUR</td>
<td>51-84-223701</td>
<td>51-84-223701</td>
<td><a href="mailto:fiturri@dirceturcusco.gob.pe">fiturri@dirceturcusco.gob.pe</a></td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Position/Institution</td>
<td>Contact Information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Guillermo Zevallos</td>
<td>Miembro del Comité de la Conformidad</td>
<td><a href="mailto:guizada@hotmail.com">guizada@hotmail.com</a> M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Orlando Dolores</td>
<td>Specialist SENASA 3133500</td>
<td><a href="mailto:odolores@senasa.gob.pe">odolores@senasa.gob.pe</a> M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Sandro Chambi</td>
<td>Consultant PROMPERU 984609983</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sachom17@hotmail.com">sachom17@hotmail.com</a> M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Gloria Eslava</td>
<td>Comité de Normas Técnicas 511224780</td>
<td><a href="mailto:geslava@agrocasagrande.com.pe">geslava@agrocasagrande.com.pe</a> F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Soraya Lastra</td>
<td>INDECOPI 511224780 511-224-7800 Ext. 1329 <a href="mailto:slastra@indecopi.gob.pe">slastra@indecopi.gob.pe</a> F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Rosario Uria</td>
<td>INDECOPI 511224780</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ruria@indecopi.gob.pe">ruria@indecopi.gob.pe</a> F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Dora Parcona</td>
<td>Specialist SENASA 511-313-300 Ext. 2600</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dparona@senasa.gob.pe">dparona@senasa.gob.pe</a> F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Elsa Suarez</td>
<td>Consultores Farmacéutica SRL 422-0427 441-2538 <a href="mailto:esuarez@bioregpharma.com">esuarez@bioregpharma.com</a> F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Carla Ledesma</td>
<td>Specialist Ministry of Agriculture 61358122064 349-6223 <a href="mailto:cledesma@minag.gob.pe">cledesma@minag.gob.pe</a> F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Waldy Bejarano</td>
<td>Head of the Peruvian Delegation to the SCSC MINCETUR 5136100 Ext. 8038 5136100 Ext. 8003 <a href="mailto:wbejarano@mincetur.gob.pe">wbejarano@mincetur.gob.pe</a> M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Emeesto Guevara</td>
<td>Legal Adviser MINCETUR 5136100 Ext. 8038 513-6100 Ext. 1265 <a href="mailto:eguevara@mincetur.gob.pe">eguevara@mincetur.gob.pe</a> M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Jorge Oscategui</td>
<td>Sub Director MINCETUR 5136100 Ext. 8037 513-6100 Ext. 8002 <a href="mailto:joscategui@mincetur.gob.pe">joscategui@mincetur.gob.pe</a> M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Edgar Vásquez</td>
<td>TBT Coordinator MINCETUR 5136100 Ext. 8040 513-6100 Ext. 8002 <a href="mailto:evasquez@mincetur.gob.pe">evasquez@mincetur.gob.pe</a> M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Mario Sandoval</td>
<td>Consultant 996437884</td>
<td><a href="mailto:masando1411@yahoo.com">masando1411@yahoo.com</a> M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Elena Chumpitaz</td>
<td>Gerente de Calidad Inspectorate Services Peru SAC 613-8080 <a href="mailto:elenachumoitazi@inspectorate.com.pe">elenachumoitazi@inspectorate.com.pe</a> F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Miluska Herencia</td>
<td>Gerente General ACATDEJU 984650082</td>
<td><a href="mailto:miluskaheterl@yahoo.es">miluskaheterl@yahoo.es</a> F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Tel.</td>
<td>Fax</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Sien</td>
<td>Hong</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>SPRING</td>
<td>+65 6279 1824</td>
<td>65 6278 6990</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dennis_chew@spring.gov.sg">dennis_chew@spring.gov.sg</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Nam</td>
<td>Kuan</td>
<td>Group Director</td>
<td>SPRING Singapore</td>
<td>65 6279 1845</td>
<td>65 6278 9425</td>
<td><a href="mailto:teo_nam_kuan@spring.gov.sg">teo_nam_kuan@spring.gov.sg</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Michael</td>
<td>Ong</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>SPRING Singapore</td>
<td>65 6279 1841</td>
<td>65 6278 9885</td>
<td><a href="mailto:michael_ong@spring.gov.sg">michael_ong@spring.gov.sg</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Rachel</td>
<td>COI</td>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>SPRING Singapore</td>
<td>65 6279 3727</td>
<td>65 6271 3861</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rachel_choy@spring.gov.sg">rachel_choy@spring.gov.sg</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Khoon</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>SPRING</td>
<td>65 6279</td>
<td>65 6271</td>
<td><a href="mailto:chia_khoon_law@spring.gov.sg">chia_khoon_law@spring.gov.sg</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Economy: Singapore
### Economy: Thailand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Tel.</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs.</td>
<td>Jongkolnee</td>
<td>Vithayarunguangsr</td>
<td>Director Food Safety Operation Centre</td>
<td>Ministry of Public Health</td>
<td>6629659730</td>
<td>6625883020</td>
<td><a href="mailto:foodsafety_1@hotmail.com">foodsafety_1@hotmail.com</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Churairat</td>
<td>Arpanantikue</td>
<td>Committee of Food Processing ss Industry Club</td>
<td>Federation of Thai Industries</td>
<td>66894808381</td>
<td>6634512813</td>
<td><a href="mailto:churairat_arpa@hotmail.com">churairat_arpa@hotmail.com</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Economy: United States of America

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Tel.</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Russell</td>
<td>Schiebel</td>
<td>DLO</td>
<td>US Embassy Lima</td>
<td>6182710</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:schiebelra@state.gov">schiebelra@state.gov</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Kushnier</td>
<td>Vice President</td>
<td>American National Standards Institute</td>
<td>202-331-3604</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:gkushnier@ansi.org">gkushnier@ansi.org</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Jennifer</td>
<td>Stradtman</td>
<td>International Trade Specialist</td>
<td>US Department of Commerce</td>
<td>202-482-0311</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:jennifer.stradtman@mail.doc.gov">jennifer.stradtman@mail.doc.gov</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>James</td>
<td>Olshesky</td>
<td>Director, External Relations</td>
<td>ASTM International</td>
<td>610-832-9714</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:jolshefs@astm.org">jolshefs@astm.org</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Erin</td>
<td>Grossi</td>
<td>Manager, International Affairs</td>
<td>Underwriters Laboratories Inc.</td>
<td>202-296-7841</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:erin.grossi@us.ul.com">erin.grossi@us.ul.com</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Anne</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Product Steward</td>
<td>3M</td>
<td>651-736-1916</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:ajohnson@mmm.com">ajohnson@mmm.com</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Jeanette</td>
<td>Kennedy</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>Information</td>
<td>202-626-</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:jkennedy@itic.org">jkennedy@itic.org</a></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Tel.</td>
<td>Fax</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>Foguelson</td>
<td>DLO</td>
<td>US Embassy Lima</td>
<td>990-683-975</td>
<td><a href="mailto:foguelsond@state.gov">foguelsond@state.gov</a></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Julia</td>
<td>Doherty</td>
<td>Senior Director</td>
<td>USTR</td>
<td>202-395-9559</td>
<td><a href="mailto:juliadoherty@ustr.eop.gov">juliadoherty@ustr.eop.gov</a></td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Renee</td>
<td>Hancher</td>
<td>US Dept. of Commerce</td>
<td></td>
<td>202-482-3492</td>
<td><a href="mailto:renee.hancher@mail.doc.gov">renee.hancher@mail.doc.gov</a></td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Economy: Viet Nam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Tel.</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr.</td>
<td>Ngo Tat</td>
<td>Thang</td>
<td>Deputy Director (in charge)</td>
<td>Directorate for Standards and Quality / Ministry of Science and Technology</td>
<td>(84-4) 791,1630</td>
<td>(84-4) 791,1605</td>
<td><a href="mailto:neo_tat_thang@yahoo.com">neo_tat_thang@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Nguyen</td>
<td>Van Khoi</td>
<td>Senior Official</td>
<td>STAMEQ</td>
<td>(84-4) 791,1629</td>
<td>(84-4) 791,1595</td>
<td><a href="mailto:thoimv2000@yahoo.com">thoimv2000@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>